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EMBRAGE EMPOWER EXCEL Each Child Each Day

## 2023 Illinois Interactive Report Card

More Changes were Made by the ISBE for the 22-23 SY Report Card.
There are Four Levels of Designation:

- Exemplary
- Commendable
- Targeted
- Comprehensive

All Three Schools in D76 are COMMENDABLE Schools for the 22-23 SY!

## 2023 Illinois School Report Card Indicators

## Elementary \& Middle Schools

## Academic Indicators

75\%

1. English Language Arts Growth: $25 \%$
2. Math Growth: $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$
3. English Language Arts Proficiency: 7.5\%
4. Math Proficiency: 7.5\%
5. Science Proficiency: 5\%
6. English Learner Progress to Proficiency: 5\%

## School Ouality \& Student Success Indicators

7. Chronic Absenteeism: 20\%
8. Climate Survey: 5\%
9. $P-2^{*}$
10. 3-8*
11. Fine Arts*

Priority 1: Academic Excellence- Optimizing learning experiences for all District 76 students.

| Goal(s): | Purpose | Measurable Evidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Know each D76 student by Name, Strength and Need: <br> a. Improve student growth in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics. <br> a. Strengthen social emotional well-being, learning and engagement for all students. <br> b. Implement a District-wide multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) program. | Prepare all D76 students to be high school, college/career and future ready and to improve student academic and social/emotional learning growth and achievement. <br> Ensure the needs of the "whole child" are met in order to improve student academic and social/emotional learning growth and achievement. <br> Provide an MTSS program to improve student academic and social/emotional learning growth and achievement. | 100\% of Kindergarten-8th grade students will demonstrate growth within the tiers of instruction as measured by the STAR assessment. <br> Pre-K-8th grade students will demonstrate $90 \%$ or higher in all categories of the Social Emotional Learning (SEL) Student Survey <br> Incorporate a social/emotional learning screener in the MTSS process. |

## Definition of Terms

## RTI: Response to Intervention

## Star Assessments

- 3 Tiers of Instruction:
- Tier I (>30\%ile): Instruction Provided to ALL Students
- Tier II (29-12\%ile): Instruction Provided to Students Who Require Additional Support
- Tier III (<11\%ile): Instruction Provided to Students Who Require Intensive Interventions
- Three times a year-Fall, Winter, Spring Benchmarks
- Subjects: Reading and Math
- Grades: K - 8th


## DLS: ELA Assessments

## Early Literacy Foundational Skills

## Star Reading- Developmental Skills and Application

- Alphabet
- Phonemic Awareness
- Phonics
- Vocabulary
- Comprehension
- Author
- Literary
- Argument
- Vocabulary
- Comprehension


## Kindergarten Star ELA - RtI Percentiles

| Grade Level | Tier I > $30 \%$ ile | Tier II 12-29\%ile | Tier III < 11\%ile | Students of That Grew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall | Fall | Fall | Spring - Fall |
| Early Literacy | 20 | 18 | 28 | X |
| ELA | X | X | X | X |

## Kindergarten Star Math - RtI Percentiles

Tier I $\mathbf{~ 3 0 \% i l e ~}$

Math

| Fall |
| :---: |
|  |
| 35 |

Tier II 12-29\%ile


Tier III < 11\%ile
Students That Grew


## DLS Star ELA - Rtl Percentiles

| Grade Level | Tier I > 30\%ile |  | Tier II 12-29\%ile |  | Tier III < 11\%ile |  | \% of Students That Grew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring - Fall |
| 1st Grade Early Lit. | 52 | 18 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 19 | 29\% |
| 1st Grade Star | 13 | 18 | X | 1 | X | 2 |  |
| 2nd Grade Early Lit. | 13 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 14 | 19 | 42\% |
| 2nd Grade Star | 52 | 48 | 17 | 11 | 8 | 23 |  |

## DLS Star Math - Rtl Percentiles

| Grade Level | Tier 1 > 30\%ile |  | Tier II 12-29\%ile |  | Tier III < 11\%ile |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Students That Grew } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring - Fall |
| 1st Grade | 51 | 56 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 41\% |
| 2nd Grade | 66 | 61 | 12 | 23 | 9 | 13 | 36\% |

## DLS Star SLA - Rtl Percentiles

| Grade Level | Tier I > 30\%ile |  | Tier II 12-29\%\%ile |  | Tier III < 11\%ile |  | \% of Students That Grew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring - Fall |
| 1st Grade Early Lit. | X | 15 | X | 7 | X | 6 | X |
| 1st Grade Star | X | 4 | X | 1 | X | 0 |  |
| 2nd Grade Early Lit. | 12 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 30\% |
| 2nd Grade Star | 14 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 1 |  |

## DLS: Action and Next Steps

## What is the data telling us by grade level?

- Typical Fall Benchmark scores
- Intervention needed and provided for Tier II students and Tier III students
- Significant need for linguistic support for English dearners
- Adoption of the GOLD formative assessment system for Little Diamonds Early Learning Program
- Re-examining the Dual Language enrollment process to maximize bilingual support
- Addition of Kindergarten to the Spanish Early Literacy assessment in Winter and Spring
- Sharing student work at both the grade level and Building Leadership Team level to enhance knowing all DLS students by name, strength and need
- Consistent progress monitoring system
- Data-driven team meetings to guide instruction


## WOIS Star ELA - RtI Percentiles

| Grade Level | Tier I > 30\%ile |  | Tier \|| 12-29\%ile |  | Tier III < 11\%ile |  | Students That Grew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring - Fall |
| 3rd Grade | 40 | 41 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 33 | 52\% |
| 4th Grade | 40 | 35 | 16 | 28 | 16 | 24 | 42\% |
| 5th Grade | 54 | 39 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 26 | 43\% |

## WOIS Star Math - RtI Percentiles



## WOIS Star SLA - RtI Percentiles

| Grade Level | Tier l >30\%ile |  | Tier II 12-29\%ile |  | Tier III < 11\%ile |  | Students That Grew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring - Fall |
| 3rd Grade | 34 | 21 | 6 | 18 | 1 | 10 | 33\% |
| 4th Grade | 26 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 58\% |
| 5th Grade | 21 | 27 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 32\% |

## WOIS: Action and Next Steps

## What is the data telling us by grade level?

- The third grade standards require a high level of prerequisite knowledge which is consistent with the "trips" we see.
- All Grades are above the SGP 3rd: $52 \%$, 4th: $51 \%$ 5th: $57 \%$
- Our SIP is aligned with the needs of our students with text complexity, and range of reading.
- SIP goals focus on student target portfolios and student identification of personal strengths/ learning styles.
- Continue the instructional focus on mastery of standards

What are we doing to address learning gaps?

- Increased instructional minutes through changes in schedule
- Involving students in the process of goal setting and personalized learning blocks.
- Look into multiple/ and whole child assessment to utilize best practices for each student's name, strength and need


## WOMS Star ELA - RtI Percentiles

| Grade Level | Tier 1 > 30\%ile |  | Tier Il 12-29\%\%ile |  | Tier III < 11\%\%ile |  | \% of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | ving | Fall | Spring - Fall |
| 6th Grade | 44 | 40 | 16 | 21 | 22 | 19 | 49\% |
| 7th Grade | 56 | 55 | 18 | 28 | 36 | 29 | 55\% |
| 8th Grade | 54 | 57 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 52\% |

## WOMS Star Math - Rtl Percentiles

| Grade Level | Tier $1300 \%$ ile |  | Tier I 1 12-29\%\%ile |  | Tier III < 11\%\%ile |  | \% of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring - Fall |
| 6th Grade | 45 | 42 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 21 | 42\% |
| 7th Grade | 67 | 64 | 23 | 27 | 19 | 20 | 44\% |
| 8th Grade | 60 | 62 | 15 | 23 | 16 | 12 | 45\% |

## WOMS: Action and Next Steps

## What is the data telling us by grade level?

- Student growth held steady or improved from Spring STAR Test
- Tier III student numbers held steady or decreased from Spring to Fall
- Tier I Math: Increased rigor with new Math Envision curricula
- Tier II Math: Advisory support continues
- Tier III Math: Dedicated resource classes continues

What are we doing to address learning gaps?

- Tier I Reading: Reader's and Writer's workshop
- Tier II/III Reading and ELL: LLI leveled text use to reinforce intervention placement
- Cross-curricular work among subject areas focused on literacy. (DBQ and CER)

Tier I Performance Breakdown-Reading


Tier I Performance Breakdown-Math


## Summer School Comparative Data

The students invited to summer school typically fall into Tier II and Tier III. The following is comparative data:

Summer Academy Students

- Reading: 47\% of Students Grew from Spring to Fall
- Math: 36\% of Students Grew from Spring to Fall


## Tier II and Tier III Students Who Did Not

Attend Summer Academy

- Reading: 54\% of Students Grew from Spring to Fall
- Math: $50 \%$ of Students Grew from Spring to Fall


## All Students

- Reading: 46\% of Students Grew from Spring to Fall
- Math: $42 \%$ of Students Grew from Spring to Fall


## Student Target <br> Portfolio

## A Larger Picture...

# Knowing Each Student's Name, Strength and Need 

## Next Steps

## Instructional Programs and Initiatives

## Instructional Coaching

## Data-Driven Decision Making

- Balanced Literacy Framework Professional Development
- Curriculum \& Assessment Design
- Data Analysis
- Instructional Practice
- Models of Instruction (HighReliability Schools Level 2)
- Peer Observation

Facilitation

- eduCLIMBER Utilization
- Efficient Data Management in PLC's
- Student Progress Monitoring
- Instructional Supports in Reading and Math
- Implementation of MTSS

Manual K-8

- Student DESS and SEL

Surveys and Curriculum

- Summer Academy

Discussion


